

Recommendation Submission

CPC -This response is in reference to recommendation #23-8_____

CPC -Area Command: VLY_____

CPC -Referenced CASA area (A,B,C,D,E): C_____

APD -Responsible SME: J. Garcia_____

APD -Date received 10/4/23_____

RECOMMENDATION (One (1) recommendation per submission.
Recommendation/Reasoning must be 500 words or less for submission):

Recommendation from Valley Community Policing Council to Albuquerque PD
September 2023

Regarding: Changes to Procedure for Review of CPC Recommendations Goal: to improve communication and foster a more inclusive, collaborative informational dialogue

Issue:

The current CPC recommendation process is a simple one-directional process, in which CPC's consider and forward recommendations regarding procedure, training, or tactics to APD in writing and APD, after an appropriate length of time, responds in writing with a statement to accept or deny.

This process constitutes a limited communication between the CPCs and APD, with no opportunity for corrective action in cases of misunderstanding, or opportunity for correction to meet APD experience or current processes. In cases in which the intent of the recommendation is not well-stated or understood, it allows for no communication or education.

Recommendation -

As we want to promote and maintain inclusive, respectful, and collaborative interaction between our two parties, that identify concerns and opportunities for improvement, it is recommended that an interim opportunity to discuss and review each recommendation from the two parties' perspective be added to the process after APD has had the opportunity to come to a *provisional* decision of how it plans to respond, regardless of whether APD intends to agree or disagree.

If the CPC and APD representative agree that our respective viewpoints have been expressed and are mutually understood, with a clear response evident from APD, then we can proceed to finalize the recommendation. But, if the recommendation's concern, intent, processes, or goals were not adequately expressed or mutually understood then the parties are afforded an opportunity to amend. We accept that APD still has total control on whether to agree or not.

Current Alternative

The alternative to this amended process is that CPC's may feel the need to continue amending and re-submitting recommendations for the same perceived issue, based on our concept of necessary improvement and at times without a clear understanding of the limitations of the recommendation from the perspective of APD. This is time-consuming and frustrating. Including an interim dialogue will improve the process, and our mutual communication and understanding.